7: Gulick E-5 (1952) 1955 ME1 Lebanon ME1

the couples are related to each other in several ways. These data were obtained by asking the informant to state the number of *faces* between the husband-wife pairs on the census sheets. His immediate reaction was to count the faces patrilineally

TABLE 9. CLOSEST DEGREE OF RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SPOUSES

DEGREE	NUMBER	FAMILY OF HUSBAND	TYPE OF MARRIAGE
First cousin	3	B.XII. 45	Out. Max. Lin.
		A.XI. 45	In Max. Lin.
First cousin, once removed	3	B.XIII. 44	Out. Max. Lin.
		A.VII. 20	In Max. Lin.
		C.IV. 36	In Min. Lin
		C.XII. 76	Out. Max. Lin.
		C.XI. 67	Out. Max. Lin.
Second cousin	5	A.I. 1	In Max. Lin.
		A.III. 4	In Max. Lin.
		B.XII. 46	Out. Max. Lin.
		A.VII. 19	In Min. Lin.
		C.IV. —	In Min. Lin.
Second cousin, once removed	6	A.X. 50	Out. Max. Lin.
		A.VII. 26	In Min. Lin.
		A.VI. 14	In Max. Lin.
		A.III. 5	In Max. Lin.
		A.X. 42	In Min. Lin.
		C.IV. 39	Out. Max. Lin.
Third cousin	11	A.VIII. 31	In Min. Lin.
		A.VI. 13	Out. Max. Lin.
		A.VIII. 32	In Min. Lin.
		A.VII. 25	In Max. Lin.
		A.IX. 34	In Min. Lin.
		A.VII. 29	In Min. Lin.
		A.VIII. 30	In Min. Lin.
		C.XII. 77	Out. Max. Lin.
		C.IV. 35	Out. Max. Lin.
		A.V. 52	Out. Max. Lin.
		A.IV. 9	In Max. Lin.
Third cousin, once removed	1	A.VIII. 27	In Min. Lin.
Fourth cousin, or more distant	34	—	—

with the husband as the primary reference. When it was explained to him that the *closest relationship* patrilineally *or* matrilineally was desired, he did so, though it was clear that he thought it was unnecessary. He also admitted that he wasn't certain of all the relationships "through women." Between many of the spouses he said simply that the relationship was "far" or that there were "many" faces. There